Over 2/5ths of respondents

PR Has Firm Footing in Video & Film Production, But Management & Sales Meetings Are Handled Mainly by Others

(62.1%) in their own departments. These media tools are seen as an integral part of the public relations function. The planning and handling of management & sales meetings is the only function for which a greater percentage of respondents refer to another department (49.6%) than their own (39.7%). As these meetings are seen as an essential tool of public relations programs, more respondents can be expected to incorporate them into their repertoire.

WHAT DOES THIS AUGUR FOR PRACTITIONERS? GRUNIG SUGGESTS ONLY A NEW ETHIC WILL WORK TO GAIN SEPARATE IDENTITY, ULTIMATE SURVIVAL

Against this backdrop of entrenchment in current techniques, some say the real opportunity for the field is to alter its conceptual framework

4 FRAMEWORKS OF PRACTICE

asymmetric model. Dominates current

Press Agentry = propagandistic,

practice according to Grunig's

gov't & scientific agencies.

2-Way Asymmetrical = identifies

research.

seeking media attention: a 1-way

Public Information = disseminates

accurate info but does not volunteer

negative info or seek input; a 1-way asymmetric model. Most popular in

messages most likely to gain support

of publics without having to change

thus manipulative. Change benefits

the organization but not necessarily

the behavior of the organization.

the publics. Organization knows

ideas. Most popular in corpora-

tions & advocacy groups.

best, needs no free marketplace of

2-Way Symmetrical = uses bargaining.

negotiation, conflict resolution to

tion & publics, for mutual benefit.

Used at times by many organizations

but seldom their dominant model.

effect change in the ideas, attitudes & behaviors of both organiza-

of pr. Ehling feels prevailing presuppositions a) render it less effective, b) reduce it to a technician, rather then management, role. Grunig adds that the question is not what it can do (activities) but ought to do (ethics).

Grunig's research finds 2 worrisome facts: 1) Power elites in organizations select the publics whose cooperation is sought & dictate the basic strategy: the pr chief is often not among this elite because his/her skills are technical. not strategic. 2) The dominant frameworks of pr are "asymmetrical" (see chart) which amount to "craft" or "journalistic" models -- again, not strategic but technician's work.

Grunig cites research to show that 2way symmetrical practice is not only a moral & ethical approach -- but more effective. What keeps it from becoming dominant is the presuppositions of practitioners. He finds:

"The framework provided by the 2-way symmetrical presuppositions is producing an original theory of public relations. We are not borrowing persuasion theory or theories of organizational communication. Rather, we have built a theory of public relations both with concepts from other research traditions and with concepts that are original to the theory."

The asymmetrical worldview assumes publics will cooperate if they are "sold." But often they're asked to "buy" such items as pollution, toxic waste. dan gerous products, discrimination, higher

prices, job layoffs, political favoritism et al. This "we know best" attitude keeps the asymmetrical models from being "ethical & socially responsible approaches to public relations."

handle video production (63%) and film production

> Vol. 31 No. 2 January 11, 1988

The State	of Public H	Relations 19	988: <u>Part II</u>	
IS PR DEFI	NED BY ACT	IVITIES; BY	A PHILOSOPHY;	OR BY PRAGMATISM?
PUSH FOR P	ROFESSIONAI	ISM RAISES	NEW DEBATE, 3	SCHOLARS WEIGH IN,
AND SURVEY	FINDS FIEI	D SOLIDLY H	ENTRENCHED IN	AREAS IT NOW CLAIMS

What does differentiate public relations from marketing, advertising, sales, personnel, general management consulting & other disciplines which sometimes infringe?

Push by the public relations societi for professionalism, the licensing movement, & increasing demands for "val added" by employers & clients are stimu lating attempts to more tightly define the practice. Three respected scholars of public relations -- Bill Ehling, Jim Grunig & Scott Cutlip -- worked on the topic last year. The views they & othe are expressing show great divergence. But 3 categories emerge --- activities. pragmatism, philosophy:

TEhling, SyracuseU: "Adversarial environments" are what make "public relations communication distinct." Every pr public is at least a potential adversary. In marketing, contrast, the publics are known to want what is offered. Because of th need for "cooperation, accord & cons sus in social affairs," this approac gives pr a "socially vital & institu tionally necessary" role, keeps it from becoming "an appendage attached to marketing management." PR avoids conflict & abets cooperation. Negotiation is a key skill, publicity le so. "Sociology is to public relation management what economics is to marketing management."

He's concerned about "bringing everything under public relations" because it dilutes understanding of the field by clients & practitioners -- which makes it hard to achieve professionalism. Marketing has done well because it has a very specific basis. PR requires "some intellectual & theoretical substance -- something more than conducting sales meetings, writing press releases for new products, placing institutional ads" -- i.e. it cannot be defined by mere activities.





The Weekly Newsletter of Public Relations Public Affairs & Communication 603 / 778 - 0514

ies	
1ue	Another spur to the debate is the
 u	brouhaha over which services can be
-	counted in the sweepstakes to be
s	"No. 1" in counseling firm billings.
m	In our typical tho unfortunate way,
	we turn this into a sporting event
ers	as if bigger were better (an argu-
	ment which the nature of the field
	defies). The idea is an insult to
	the vast majority of practitioners
	who do not work in the handful of
_	large firms but in companies, hospi-
	tals, ass'ns, gov't agencies,
	schools & colleges, smaller firms,
	etc.
by	
_	Their goal is being No. 1 with
he	the publics they labor to build
sen-	relationships with. One suspects
ch	folks in the big firms are tired of
u	this foolishness, too. But it may
đ	be temporarily useful if it abets
-	some decisions about what limits, if
S	any, there are to "public rela-
-	tions."
ess	L
ons	mana demont II

January 11, 1988

TBob Dilenschneider, H&K CEO: "Public relations isn't making slides, it isn't writing press releases, it isn't even dealing with media. It's problem solving." The trend to project assignments is a "disservice to clients" because it is quick fix -- the antithesis of solving problems.

"Grunig, UMaryland: "Research has not supported the assumption of most pr people that messages change attitudes & that attitude change leads to behavioral change." PR does not directly increase profits, gain community or gov't support (tho it may reduce opposition) or increase employee morale & productivity (tho it seems to help employees coordinate their work with other people in the organization). What public relations does do is help the organization attain autonomy.

"Research & practical experience suggest that organizations want autonomy from their environments. They do not want to be regulated by gov't or pressured by interest groups. Loss of autonomy costs money -- to comply with regulations or to make changes to accomodate pressure groups -- and means the organization cannot freely pursue the goals it has chosen. Having willing consumers & employees also increases an organization's autonomy, because fewer changes in behavior are necessary to sell

a product or get employees to work more productively."

¶Joe Macrum, Tenneco: "The greatest payoff in pr is in having an effect on what has not yet occurred. In any year, between 30-50% of meaningful pr activity deals with the unexpected. What doesn't happen as the result of pr advice may be by far the most valuable service pr provides. No question -- the ultimate test of public relations is: can it change behavior?"

"Cutlip: "The social justification for public relations is that every idea. every individual, every institution has the right to be heard in the public forum; and today only pr expertise can insure that right. In bringing all sides of a public issue to the forum, practitioners serve the self-balancing clash of ideas out of which public policy is made."

¶Larry Newman, Manning, Selvage & Lee: What clients want is results. They "couldn't care less what your tools are." Concentrating on process is "looking thru the wrong end of the telescope" because it's more comforting to us. We must do what's appropriate for the client rather than what's comfortable for us. "Your clients want you to make them rich & famous; use whatever will work."

Conclusion: Little agreement but many new directions visualized -- at least when contrasted to traditional public relations. Now let's look at what's happening there.

(Copies of Ehling's, Grunig's & Cutlip's paper from prr.)

PUBLIC RELATIONS HAS PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTIVITIES ALSO CLAIMED BY OTHERS, STUDY SHOWS

Some functions performed by practitioners are also performed by other departments. Some,

like financial or employee communications & institutional or advocacy advertising, have long been disputed. Others, like arranging management & sales meetings, have been considered outside the sphere of public relations. prr's Annual Survey explored these contested areas by asking. "Who in your organization has primary responsibility for the following?" Our findings appear in the table on page 3.

Traditional Functions Claimed by PR

Employee communications (71.7%) and product Solidly Held Against Competing Dep'ts publicity (71.5%) are claimed by 7 of 10 respondents. They aren't exclusive to pr -never were -- but generally reside there. Financial communications is where the depletion has occurred -- tho when "my dep't" & "both" are combined, 63% of practitioners are active in this key task. Interestingly, among functions performed in "my dep't," advocacy advertising (74.4%) and institutional advertising (71.9%) lead the list for least competition. For years they were fought over.

	PRIMARY DEPARTMENTAL	RESPONSI	BILITY FOR	SELECTED	FUNCTIONS
Functio	n	"My Dep't"	"Other Dep't"	Both	Names of Other Dep'ts (Top Ones Mentioned)
Advocacy Advertising		74.4%	21.2%	4.3%	Advertising, Market ing, Corp. Office
Institution	al Advertising	71.9	24.1	4.0	Advertising, Market ing, Executive Offi
Employee Co	nmunications	71.7	21.9	6.4	Personnel, Human Resources, Adminis- tration
Product Pub	licity	71.5	22.4	6.1	Marketing, Advertis ing, Subsidiary or Divisional Level
Video Produ	ction	63.0	28.3	8.6	Creative or Graphic Arts Dep't, Market- ing, Training
Film Production		62.1	31.0	6.9	Advertising, Market ing, Creative or Graphic Arts
Financial Co	ommunications	54.2	37.4	8.3	Finance, Executive Ofc., Accounting, Investor Relations
Management	& Sales Meetings	39.7	49.6	10.7	Marketing, Sales, Executive Office, Advertising
a		ain desig	nations:		partment in the text fairs, communications